

FY 2002 - 2003 Demographic Characteristics Summary of Clients Served by the Virginia Aging Network



Prepared by

Virginia Department for the Aging

FY 2002-2003 Demographic Characteristics Summary of Clients Served by the Virginia Aging Network

Table of Contents

	page
Introduction and Methodological Notes	1
1. FY 03 Unduplicated Client Count	2
2. Unlocated Client Count	2
3. Client Distribution by State, FY 2003	2
4. Geographic Distribution of Virginia Resident Client Population by AAA, FY 2003	3
5. Age Composition of Client Population, FY 2003	4
6. Racial Composition of Client Population, FY 2003	7
7. Gender Composition of Client Population, FY 2003	9
8. Marital Status of Client Population, FY 2003	10

List of Figures

1. AAA Planning and Service Areas in Virginia	1
2. National Residential Location Pattern of Virginia's AAA Service Clients FY 2003	2
3. In-State Residential Location Pattern of AAA Clients, FY 2003	4
4. Residential Location Pattern of FY '03 AAA Clients by Client Age	5
5. Residential Location Pattern of FY '03 AAA Clients by Racial Identity	8
6. Residential Location Pattern of FY '03 AAA Clients by Gender	8
7. Residential Location Pattern of FY '03 AAA Clients by Marital Status	12

List of Tables

1. FY 2002 -2003 Clients by State of Origin	2
2. Geographic Distribution of AAA Service Clients, FY 2003	3
3. Summary Age Distribution of AAA Service Client Population, FY 2003	5
4. FY 2003 Virginia Client Age Composition by AAA-PSA	6
5. Racial & Ethnic Composition of AAA Service Client Population, FY 2003	7
6-A. Gender Composition of AAA Service Clients by AAA, FY 2003	9
6-B. Gender Composition of AAA Service Clients by Age by AAA, FY 2003	10
7-A. Marital Composition of AAA Service Client Population, FY 2003	11
7-B. Marital Composition of AAA Service Client Population, FY '03, (by Percent of AAA Total)	13

Demographic Characteristics Summary of Clients Served by the Virginia Aging Network in FY 2002-2003

Introduction and Methodological Notes

The analyses contained herein are derived from a database query applied to the AIM database to find all unduplicated clients which received at least one unit of service between October 1, 2002 and September 30, 2003 (FY 2003). The resulting selected 63,703 records from the client table were exported from AIM and imported to the department's geographic information system (GIS) program, Maptitude, where a number of data cleaning operations were performed to eliminate misspellings and otherwise standardize responses. Mapping coordinates (i.e. latitude and longitude) were assigned to each client record with usable address information. In the absence of a complete street address, the client's given zip code or reported city or county of residence was used to assign location coordinates so that the client's local AAA service provider could be determined. Of the total 63,703 client records for all clients, 97.1 percent (61,850 clients) were located within or out of state (see Figure 1), with in-state locations assigned to a local AAA service area (see Figure 2). Many out-of-state clients were located as well using the GIS program's national addressing database.

In order to produce the various demographic cross-tabulation profiles of the client population, the database was exported from Maptitude as an Excel file and imported to JMP, a statistical software program to produce many of the cross-tabulation tables in this report. The final publication tables were formatted using Microsoft Excel and pasted into this Microsoft Word document.




Figure 1: Area Agency on Aging Planning and Service Areas in Virginia

1. Unduplicated Clients Receiving Service in Virginia in FY 2003: 63,703
2. Clients Receiving Service with No Usable Location Information: 1,794
3. Client Distribution by State:

Table 1. FY 2003 Clients by State of Origin

State	Clients by State of Residence	Pct of Total
Virginia	63,307	99.378%
North Carolina	258	0.405%
Maryland	32	0.050%
Pennsylvania	14	0.022%
Tennessee	14	0.022%
West Virginia	14	0.022%
Florida	13	0.020%
New York	9	0.014%
South Carolina	7	0.011%
New Jersey, California & Massachusetts (4 @)	12	0.0018%
Indiana & Ohio (3@)	6	0.0001%
District of Columbia, Arizona, Nevada & Washington (2 @)	8	0.0001%
Illinois, Connecticut, Georgia, Minnesota, Ontario (Canada), Alabama, Colorado, Louisiana & Maine (1 @)	9	0.0001%
TOTAL	63,703	100.000%

Source: Virginia Department for the Aging, Advanced Information Manager (AIM) database, for FY 2002-2003.




Figure 2: National Residential Location Pattern of Virginia's AAA Service Clients, FY 2003

Table 2. Geographic Distribution of AAA Service Clients, FY 2003

*Includes some out-of-state resident clients

AAA-PSA	FY 2003 Unduplicated Clients by AAA	Pct of Total	Unduplicated AAA Clients, Age 60 & Over	Estimated AAA Market Penetration: Share of 60+ Population	July 1, 2002 Census- Estimated, 60 & Over Population	Pct of State 60 & Over Population
Unknown*	1,794	2.82%	447			
1	2,808	4.41%	2,584	13.9%	18,587	1.7%
2	3,362	5.28%	2,248	9.8%	22,957	2.1%
3	6,836	10.73%	6,759	16.0%	42,329	3.8%
4	1,078	1.69%	975	3.7%	26,083	2.3%
5	2,025	3.18%	1,902	3.5%	54,707	4.9%
6	2,384	3.74%	2,237	4.6%	48,700	4.4%
7	1,529	2.40%	1,384	3.9%	35,054	3.2%
8-A	844	1.32%	788	4.6%	17,008	1.5%
8-B	1,333	2.09%	1,247	5.1%	24,341	2.2%
8-C	4,385	6.88%	4,198	3.2%	129,262	11.6%
8-D	1,978	3.11%	1,818	10.8%	16,824	1.5%
8-E	3,144	4.94%	2,621	9.1%	28,802	2.6%
9	1,008	1.58%	950	3.8%	24,756	2.2%
10	1,988	3.12%	1,513	4.4%	34,241	3.1%
11	1,901	2.98%	1,756	3.9%	44,898	4.0%
12	6,501	10.21%	5,619	10.4%	53,925	4.8%
13	986	1.55%	933	4.8%	19,462	1.7%
14	778	1.22%	726	3.7%	19,371	1.7%
15	2,852	4.48%	2,586	2.0%	130,348	11.7%
16	598	0.94%	557	1.8%	30,342	2.7%
17/18	1,648	2.59%	1,515	4.8%	31,796	2.9%
19	1,235	1.94%	782	2.7%	29,452	2.6%
20	8,107	12.73%	6,200	4.2%	148,357	13.3%
21	1,721	2.70%	1,658	2.4%	69,507	6.2%
22	880	1.38%	822	7.0%	11,701	1.1%
Total	63,703	100.00%	54,825	4.9%	1,112,810	100.0%

Note: Client count represents unduplicated count of clients with at least one unit of service between October 1, 2002 and September 30, 2003 (FY 2003). AAA clients may receive service from any AAA service provider. Consequently, the count of AAA clients by AAA service area is based on the location of the client's reported residence, rather than by the identity of the AAA service agency that may have provided service to the client.

Sources: Virginia Department for the Aging, Advanced Information Manager (AIM) database, for FY 2002-2003. US Bureau of Census, Local Population Estimates by Age, Race, and Sex for July 1, 2002, Census Bureau web site.

5. Geographic Distribution of Virginia Resident Client Population by AAA, FY 2003

A total of 61,909 clients in FY 2002-2003 were reported as having a Virginia residence (see Figure 3. In some cases, only the state field was completed in the AIM database). By comparing each AAA's share or percentage of the statewide client population with each AAA's share of the 60 and older population, it can be seen that some areas served a disproportionately higher or lower number of clients than their regional share of the state's 60 and older population. This may be a result of many factors, including, for example, the presence of concentrations of lower-income service populations in areas with higher than expected shares of the state's client population.




Figure 3. In-State Residential Location Pattern of AAA Clients, FY 2003

6. Age Composition of Client Population by AAA, FY 2002-2003

The Virginia service client population in FY 2003 is broken down by age in Tables 3 and 4. Subtracting the reported year of birth from 2003 provided an approximate client age distribution for the 57,860 clients with a reported date of birth. Date of birth information was not consistently provided by all clients or entered into the AIM database by all AAAs, with 5,843 (5.1 percent) of all clients with erroneous or missing date of birth data and another 3,035 (4.7 percent) of all clients with computed ages below 60 years of age. A total of 57,452 (90.2 percent) of all clients were found to be age 60 and over.

A statewide summary distribution for all age groups over 60 years of age is provided in Table 3, with AAA-PSA sub-state breakdowns in Table 4. The geographic distribution of FY 03 clients by age group is shown in Figure 4.

Table 3. Summary Age Distribution of AAA Service Client Population, FY 2003

Age Group	Clients of 60 Yrs of Age or More		All Clients	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent (%)
DOB Unreported or in Error			5,845	5.1
Under 60 years of Age			3,035	4.7
Age 60-64	5,178	9.4%		8.1
Age 65-69	8,594	15.7%		13.5
Age 70-74	9,189	16.8%		14.4
Age 75-79	10,406	19.0%		16.3
Age 80-84	10,107	18.4%		15.9
Age 85-89	6,973	12.7%		10.9
Age 90-94	3,269	6.0%		5.1
Age 95-99	869	1.6%		1.4
Age 100+	238	0.4%		.0
Total, 60 & over	54,823	100.0%		90.2
Total Clients			63,703	100.0

Source: Virginia Department for the Aging, Advanced Information Manager (AIM) database, for FY 2002-2003.




Figure 4. Residential Location Pattern of Virginia's FY 2003 Clients by Client Age

Table 4. FY 2003 Virginia Client Age Composition, by AAA-PSA

AAA-PSA	Total Clients	Pct of Total	DOB Unreported	Age 60-64	Age 65-69	Age 70-74	Age 75-79	Age 80-84	Age 80-84	Age 90-94	Age 95-99	Age 100-104	Age 105-109	Age 110+	Age 60 & Over	Pct of Total, 60+	Other Age Errors	Total Under 60 Yrs.	Under 60 Yrs, Pct of Total by AAA	Total Possible Errors	Pct of Total, by AAA	Pct of Statewide Errors, by AAA
Unknown/ Non-VA	1,794	2.82%	722	110	120	71	73	41	17	9	3	3	0	0	447	0.8%	1,640	78	4.35%	1,269	70.7%	21.72%
1	2,808	4.41%	24	285	401	393	446	459	342	191	53	12	2	0	2,584	4.7%	8	177	6.30%	47	1.7%	0.80%
2	3,362	5.28%	179	280	391	380	376	369	271	132	35	13	0	1	2,248	4.1%	339	338	10.05%	776	23.1%	13.28%
3	6,836	10.73%	9	744	1,166	1,268	1,307	1,144	723	305	83	17	2	0	6,759	12.3%	10	58	0.85%	19	0.3%	0.33%
4	1,078	1.69%	12	62	102	150	179	203	160	87	27	4	1	0	975	1.8%	21	58	5.38%	45	4.2%	0.77%
5	2,025	3.18%	39	125	191	263	384	411	307	168	42	9	2	0	1,902	3.5%	27	58	2.86%	65	3.2%	1.11%
6	2,384	3.74%	34	130	241	318	447	490	393	163	49	6	0	0	2,237	4.1%	3	65	2.73%	82	3.4%	1.40%
7	1,529	2.40%	33	99	195	209	269	287	227	77	19	2	0	0	1,384	2.5%	9	77	5.04%	68	4.4%	1.16%
8-A	844	1.32%	12	40	86	139	169	160	128	52	11	3	0	0	788	1.4%	21	18	2.13%	38	4.5%	0.65%
8-B	1,333	2.09%	12	65	148	295	210	256	171	77	19	5	1	0	1,247	2.3%	58	26	1.95%	60	4.5%	1.03%
8-C	4,385	6.88%	3	295	501	626	819	891	655	318	78	11	4	0	4,198	7.7%	5	127	2.90%	60	1.4%	1.03%
8-D	1,978	3.11%	29	349	270	299	306	283	198	85	24	4	0	0	1,818	3.3%	148	73	3.69%	87	4.4%	1.49%
8-E	3,144	4.94%	184	313	516	509	535	406	223	84	27	7	1	0	2,621	4.8%	56	264	8.40%	259	8.2%	4.43%
9	1,008	1.58%	16	84	139	159	189	150	144	69	13	3	0	0	950	1.7%	7	34	3.37%	24	2.4%	0.41%
10	1,988	3.12%	147	127	218	281	316	268	165	97	34	7	0	0	1,513	2.8%	224	138	6.94%	337	17.0%	5.77%
11	1,901	2.98%	14	110	201	301	334	373	275	128	28	6	0	0	1,756	3.2%	24	87	4.58%	58	3.1%	0.99%
12	6,501	10.21%	121	663	1,610	833	896	759	511	251	62	32	0	2	5,619	10.2%	31	567	8.72%	315	4.8%	5.39%
13	986	1.55%	4	54	106	146	176	209	154	68	15	4	1	0	933	1.7%	32	20	2.03%	33	3.3%	0.56%
14	778	1.22%	27	51	111	128	139	135	104	42	13	3	0	0	726	1.3%	4	19	2.44%	33	4.2%	0.56%
15	2,852	4.48%	57	356	407	444	483	442	272	126	45	10	1	0	2,586	4.7%	47	157	5.50%	109	3.8%	1.87%
16	598	0.94%	3	47	75	93	108	112	85	30	6	1	0	0	557	1.0%	9	20	3.34%	21	3.5%	0.36%
17/18	1,648	2.59%	35	97	165	227	281	321	244	141	35	4	0	0	1,515	2.8%	40	64	3.88%	69	4.2%	1.18%
19	1,235	1.94%	236	76	98	127	154	155	97	60	9	5	1	0	782	1.4%	99	20	1.62%	433	35.1%	7.41%
20	8,107	12.73%	1,252	445	811	1,104	1,306	1,285	768	344	96	39	0	2	6,200	11.3%	244	434	5.35%	1,473	18.2%	25.21%
21	1,721	2.70%	18	108	202	248	338	353	254	125	25	4	1	0	1,658	3.0%	102	40	2.32%	23	1.3%	0.39%
22	880	1.38%	15	63	123	178	166	145	85	40	18	4	0	0	822	1.5%	27	18	2.05%	40	4.5%	0.68%
TOTAL	63,703	100.00%	3,237	5,178	8,594	9,189	10,406	10,107	6,973	3,269	869	218	17	5	54,825	100.0%	3,235	3,035	4.76%	5,843	9.2%	100.00%
% of Total			5.1%	8.1%	13.5%	14.4%	16.3%	15.9%	10.9%	5.1%	1.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	86.1%		5.5%	4.8%		11.4%		

Note: Client data reported herein are reported by the client’s self-reported place of residence and corresponding AAA service area, rather than by the AAA entity that may have created or updated the client record in the AIM database as a function of providing service to the client.
Source: Virginia Department for the Aging, Advanced Information Manager (AIM) database, for FY 2002-2003.

7. Racial Composition of Client Population by AAA, FY 2003

Of the 63,703 AAA service clients in FY 2003, 42,592 (66.9 percent) were white (see Table 5). Another 16,174 clients (25.4 percent) were black or african-american; 1,843 clients (2.9 percent) were “asian or pacific islander” and 1,298 (2.0 percent) were recorded as “hispanic” or a member of some “other” race group. Only 1,703 clients (2.7 percent) lacked some kind of racial/ethnic classification.

Table 5. Racial & Ethnic Composition of AAA Service Client Population, FY 2003

AAA-PSA	Black &/or African American	American Indian & Alaskan Native	Asian	Asian & Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Other	Unreported	White	Total
1	767	3	0	81	10	58	74	1,815	2,808
2	843	5	0	100	6	63	75	2,270	3,362
3	1,694	1	0	201	14	86	141	4,699	6,836
4	287	1	0	23	5	12	24	726	1,078
5	555	1	0	49	3	18	43	1,356	2,025
6	627	2	0	84	3	23	48	1,597	2,384
7	392	3	0	54	3	9	36	1,032	1,529
8-A	224	1	0	24	3	12	26	554	844
8-B	330	4	0	35	7	19	45	893	1,333
8-C	1,112	12	0	106	18	54	117	2,966	4,385
8-D	490	5	0	62	13	33	44	1,331	1,978
8-E	793	7	0	111	21	39	89	2,084	3,144
9	264	4	0	36	3	11	19	671	1,008
10	558	1	0	75	16	20	37	1,281	1,988
11	483	2	0	43	12	43	60	1,258	1,901
12	1,676	6	1	261	41	88	176	4,252	6,501
13	262	3	0	15	10	14	15	667	986
14	158	1	0	21	13	18	21	546	778
15	723	4	1	67	34	62	86	1,875	2,852
16	140	0	2	21	1	17	16	401	598
17/18	352	3	0	50	6	21	38	1,178	1,648
19	351	0	0	30	1	17	33	803	1,235
20	2,008	21	3	167	61	154	293	5,400	8,107
21	409	2	0	45	5	42	59	1,159	1,721
22	244	0	0	17	5	15	20	579	880
unknown	432	1	0	58	5	31	68	1,199	1,794
Total	16,174	93	7	1,836	319	979	1,703	42,592	63,703
Percent by Race Or Ethnic Group	25.4%	0.1%	0.0%	2.9%	0.5%	1.5%	2.7%	66.9%	100.0%

Source: Virginia Department for the Aging, Advanced Information Manager (AIM) database, for FY 2002-2003.

Figure 5 depicts the residential location pattern of the FY 03 client population by racial identity, while Figure 6 illustrates the residential location pattern of the FY 03 client population by gender.




Figure 5. Residential Location Pattern of Virginia's FY '03 Clients by Racial Identity




Figure 6. Residential Location Pattern of Virginia's FY '03 Clients by Gender

8. Gender Composition of AAA Service Client Population, FY 2003

Almost three-quarters (72.2 percent) and over 46,000 (46,022) of Virginia's AAA service client population in FY 2003 were female (see Table 6-A). The concentration of female client populations ranged from a high of 83.5 percent in Arlington Co. to a low of 68.9 percent in AAA-PSAs #6 (VPAS) and #9 (RRCSB).

Table 6-A. Gender Composition of AAA Service Clients, by AAA, FY 2003

AAA-PSA	Males	Females	Total	Percent Female, by AAA
1	866	1,942	2,808	69.2%
2	955	2,407	3,362	71.6%
3	1,953	4,883	6,836	71.4%
4	330	748	1,078	69.4%
5	543	1,482	2,025	73.2%
6	742	1,642	2,384	68.9%
7	450	1,079	1,529	70.6%
8A	139	705	844	83.5%
8B	315	1,018	1,333	76.4%
8C	1,190	3,195	4,385	72.9%
8D	570	1,408	1,978	71.2%
8E	904	2,240	3,144	71.2%
9	313	695	1,008	68.9%
10	615	1,373	1,988	69.1%
11	551	1,350	1,901	71.0%
12	1,917	4,584	6,501	70.5%
13	271	715	986	72.5%
14	234	544	778	69.9%
15	705	2,147	2,852	75.3%
16	132	466	598	77.9%
17/18	510	1,138	1,648	69.1%
19	303	932	1,235	75.5%
20	1,986	6,121	8,107	75.5%
21	446	1,275	1,721	74.1%
22	257	623	880	70.8%
unknown	484	1,310	1,794	73.0%
TOTAL	17,681	46,022	63,703	72.2%

Source: Virginia Department for the Aging, Advanced Information Manager (AIM) database, for FY 2002-2003.

Among the AAA clients with valid ages based on legitimate reported date of birth, 68.7 percent of the AAA client population statewide was comprised of females age 60 and over (see Table 6-B on next page). The percentage of the client population that was females age 60 and over was highest in PSA #8A (Arlington Co. – 81.3 %) and lowest in PSA #2 (AASC - 62.6 %).

Table 6-B. Gender Composition of AAA Service Clients by Age, by AAA, FY 2003

AAA-PSA	FEMALE. Under 60	FEMALE. 60 & over	MALE. Under 60	MALE. 60 & over	Total, Under 60	Total, 60 & over	Grand Total	Pct of Total, Female 60+
	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	(with DOB)*	
1	109	1,797	68	787	177	2,584	2,761	65.1%
2	238	1,618	100	629	338	2,247	2,585	62.6%
3	32	4,837	26	1,922	58	6,759	6,817	71.0%
4	31	692	27	283	58	975	1,033	67.0%
5	38	1,406	17	496	55	1,902	1,957	71.8%
6	39	1,561	29	676	68	2,237	2,305	67.7%
7	57	982	20	402	77	1,384	1,461	67.2%
8A	15	655	3	133	18	788	806	81.3%
8B	22	938	4	309	26	1,247	1,273	73.7%
8C	84	3,069	43	1,129	127	4,198	4,325	71.0%
8D	54	1,294	19	524	73	1,818	1,891	68.4%
8E	211	1,816	50	805	261	2,621	2,882	63.0%
9	25	650	12	300	37	950	987	65.9%
10	94	1,064	44	449	138	1,513	1,651	64.4%
11	56	1,256	30	500	86	1,756	1,842	68.2%
12	390	3,997	170	1,621	560	5,618	6,178	64.7%
13	12	683	16	250	28	933	961	71.1%
14	6	516	12	210	18	726	744	69.4%
15	116	1,960	42	626	158	2,586	2,744	71.4%
16	12	437	8	120	20	557	577	75.7%
17/18	35	1,055	28	460	63	1,515	1,578	66.9%
19	18	610	3	172	21	782	803	76.0%
20	295	4,730	138	1,470	433	6,200	6,633	71.3%
21	28	1,227	13	431	41	1,658	1,699	72.2%
22	16	588	2	234	18	822	840	70.0%
unknown	48	293	30	154	78	447	525	55.8%
TOTAL	2,081	39,731	954	15,092	3,035	54,823	57,858	68.7%
Pct of Total	3.6%	68.7%	1.6%	26.1%	5.2%	94.8%	100.0%	
* Clients with erroneous or missing Date of birth (DOB) excluded								

Source: Virginia Department for the Aging, Advanced Information Manager (AIM) database, for FY 2002-2003.

8. Marital Status of Client Population by AAA, FY 2003

Approximately 25.5 percent of all AAA clients in FY 2003 were married, while almost 38 percent were widowed (see Tables 7-A and 7-B). Slightly over 8 percent of all clients (that reported marital status) were divorced or separated, while 7.4 percent were single. The marital status of 21 percent of the client population was unknown or unreported.

Table 7-A. Marital Composition of AAA Service Client Population, FY 2003

AAA-PSA	Single	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Unknown	Total
1	214	767	48	165	1,018	596	2,808
2	300	892	56	215	1,244	655	3,362
3	475	1,740	167	402	2,594	1,458	6,836
4	79	266	27	57	428	221	1,078
5	147	514	51	128	741	444	2,025
6	160	620	43	123	905	533	2,384
7	120	380	31	99	582	317	1,529
8A	60	208	16	56	324	180	844
8B	94	330	29	81	512	287	1,333
8C	350	1,079	82	292	1,654	928	4,385
8D	151	538	44	118	726	401	1,978
8E	208	839	59	181	1,208	649	3,144
9	78	244	18	63	397	208	1,008
10	145	542	40	120	791	350	1,988
11	154	465	46	115	714	407	1,901
12	459	1,697	142	416	2,533	1,254	6,501
13	57	232	18	75	398	206	986
14	76	195	15	41	274	177	778
15	197	704	45	180	1,075	651	2,852
16	35	149	11	32	221	150	598
17/18	144	433	27	97	591	356	1,648
19	100	298	27	75	448	287	1,235
20	564	2,013	176	508	3,099	1,747	8,107
21	132	427	29	104	639	390	1,721
22	69	225	18	71	331	166	880
unknown	157	447	28	115	659	388	1,794
TOTAL	4,725	16,244	1,293	3,929	24,106	13,406	63,703
Pct of Total	7.4%	25.5%	2.0%	6.2%	37.8%	21.0%	100.0%

Source: Virginia Department for the Aging, Advanced Information Manager (AIM) database, for FY 2002-2003.

Figure 7 depicts the residential location pattern of the FY '03 client population by marital status.




Figure 7. Residential Location Pattern of Virginia's FY '03 Clients by Marital Status

Table 7B (next page) summarizes the differences in AAA clients' marital status by AAA service area. The incidence of non-reporting of marital status (i.e. "unknown") was lowest in PSA 10 (17.6 %) and highest in PSA 16 (25.1 %).

Table 7-B. Marital Composition, AAA Service Client Population, FY 2003 (by Percent of AAA)

AAA-PSA	Marital Status, Percent by AAA						
	Single	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Unknown	Total
1	7.6%	27.3%	1.7%	5.9%	36.3%	21.2%	100.0%
2	8.9%	26.5%	1.7%	6.4%	37.0%	19.5%	100.0%
3	6.9%	25.5%	2.4%	5.9%	37.9%	21.3%	100.0%
4	7.3%	24.7%	2.5%	5.3%	39.7%	20.5%	100.0%
5	7.3%	25.4%	2.5%	6.3%	36.6%	21.9%	100.0%
6	6.7%	26.0%	1.8%	5.2%	38.0%	22.4%	100.0%
7	7.8%	24.9%	2.0%	6.5%	38.1%	20.7%	100.0%
8A	7.1%	24.6%	1.9%	6.6%	38.4%	21.3%	100.0%
8B	7.1%	24.8%	2.2%	6.1%	38.4%	21.5%	100.0%
8C	8.0%	24.6%	1.9%	6.7%	37.7%	21.2%	100.0%
8D	7.6%	27.2%	2.2%	6.0%	36.7%	20.3%	100.0%
8E	6.6%	26.7%	1.9%	5.8%	38.4%	20.6%	100.0%
9	7.7%	24.2%	1.8%	6.3%	39.4%	20.6%	100.0%
10	7.3%	27.3%	2.0%	6.0%	39.8%	17.6%	100.0%
11	8.1%	24.5%	2.4%	6.0%	37.6%	21.4%	100.0%
12	7.1%	26.1%	2.2%	6.4%	39.0%	19.3%	100.0%
13	5.8%	23.5%	1.8%	7.6%	40.4%	20.9%	100.0%
14	9.8%	25.1%	1.9%	5.3%	35.2%	22.8%	100.0%
15	6.9%	24.7%	1.6%	6.3%	37.7%	22.8%	100.0%
16	5.9%	24.9%	1.8%	5.4%	37.0%	25.1%	100.0%
17/18	8.7%	26.3%	1.6%	5.9%	35.9%	21.6%	100.0%
19	8.1%	24.1%	2.2%	6.1%	36.3%	23.2%	100.0%
20	7.0%	24.8%	2.2%	6.3%	38.2%	21.5%	100.0%
21	7.7%	24.8%	1.7%	6.0%	37.1%	22.7%	100.0%
22	7.8%	25.6%	2.0%	8.1%	37.6%	18.9%	100.0%
unknown	8.8%	24.9%	1.6%	6.4%	36.7%	21.6%	100.0%
TOTAL	7.4%	25.5%	2.0%	6.2%	37.8%	21.0%	100.0%

Source: Virginia Department for the Aging, Advanced Information Manager (AIM) database, for FY 2002-2003.